

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 209391/B&S

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

LEIDNER et al.

Application No. 09/828,219

Filed: April 9, 2001

ERASABLE COLORED PENCIL LEAD

Art Unit: 1714

Examiner: Callie E. Shosho

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

For:

In response to the Office Action dated July 5, 2002, please enter the following nents and consider the following remarks. A page showing the requested characteristics. amendments and consider the following remarks. A page showing the requested changes is attached.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 11-19 without prejudice.

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Replace the abstract with the new abstract provided on a separate sheet.

REMARKS

Claims 1, 4-10, 22-41, and 69-91 are currently pending, and a set of pending claims is attached. The abstract has been amended to improve the form. No new matter has been added.

Claims 11-19 have been cancelled. This should remove the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as allegedly unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent 6,271,286. Applicants enclose herewith a Terminal Disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent 6,271,286 as an administrative convenience. Quad Environmental Technologies Corp. v. Union Sanitary District, 946 F.2d

01/06/2003 WABRHAM1 00000023 121216 09828219

01 FC:1253

930.00 CH

01.65.03